

905.OUTCOMES RESEARCH-LYMPHOID MALIGNANCIES | NOVEMBER 28, 2023

Real-Word Analysis of Clinical Characteristics and Outcomes Among Elderly Patients (pts) with Multiple Myeloma (MM)

Xinhe Shan, Edward A. Stadtmauer, Sandra Susanibar-Adaniya



Multiple Myeloma (MM) occurs commonly among older adults and the median age at diagnosis is 70 years old. While modern MM therapeutic agents have increased overall survival (OS), the prognosis of elderly patients (pts) (defined as age >75 yo) has been trailing behind younger pts (age <65 yo). This gap has been ascribed to frailty. However, it is unknown if older MM pts have different disease biology compared with younger pts. The MMRF CoMMpass study is a longitudinal Skip to Main contents for a longitudinal, multicentric prospective study of 1143 pts with newly diagnosed MM. We interrogated this dataset to describe the disease characteristics and to identify prognostic factors for OS amongst pts. The MMRF CoMMpass IA19 dataset was used for all analyses. Pts were followed for a minimum of 8 years after diagnosis. One hundred forty-six patients were > 75 yo, referred to as the elderly patient group (EPG), (12.8% of the cohort). Patient and disease characteristics are described in Table 1. Overall, 59% are male, and 41% are female; 79.5% were white, and 18.5% were black. At presentation, 63% of pts have anemia, 15.1% have hypercalcemia, and 9.6% have renal insufficiency (defined as Cr>2mg/dL). The EPG more commonly presented with advanced staging (ISS III: 46.6% vs. 22.9%, p<0.001), anemia (63.0% vs. 52.6%, p<0.001), lower performance status (ECOG \geq 2: 26% vs. 8.9% (p<0.001) compared to the <65 yo group.

Regarding treatment, the EPG are more likely to receive only PI-based first-line treatment (45.2%), followed by PI/IMIDsbased combined therapy (36.3%). Only 10 elderly pts (6.9%) underwent high-dose melphalan and autologous stem cell transplant (ASCT). In terms of best overall response to any line of therapy, the EPG is much less likely to achieve deep responses (sCR or better: 22.2 vs. 3.5%, p < 0.001) and had worse OS compared to the <65 and 65-75 yo groups (47 months vs. NR vs. 80 months, respectively). The cause of death in the three age groups (disease progression vs. others was not statistically different, p=0.278). Notably, elderly pts who received triplet therapy versus double therapy at diagnosis have improved clinical outcomes with deeper responses (\geq sCR of 22.2 vs. 3.5%, p < 0.001) and increased median OS (47.6 months vs. 20.3 months, p < 0.001). We performed Cox regression analysis to evaluate prognostic factors for OS (Figure 1). Triplet therapy in the first line is associated with better OS (p=0.004). Conversely, decreased performance status (ECOG >1) and advanced ISS stage at presentation portend a worse prognosis (p=0.049 and p=0.044, respectively).

Noteworthy, there is a higher attrition rate (defined as death or lost to follow-up) in the EPG. The attrition rate was 50.7% after first-line therapy, compared to 34.0% and 33.3% for the under 65 and 65-75 age groups, respectively (p<0.001). The attrition rate for elderly pts remained significantly higher after second and third-line therapies (p<0.001 and p=0.004, respectively).

We analyzed if the clinical characteristics of the EPG differ based on race. We found that non- white patients were more likely to present with advanced stage (p=0.039) and target organ damage: anemia, p<0.001), hypercalcemia (p=0.046), and renal insufficiency (p<0.001). We did not find other differences in clinical characteristics, treatment received, attrition rates, and overall survival.

Skip to Main Content

9Ξ

In conclusion, our study adds to the knowledge that elderly pts are more likely to present with advanced disease and diminished functional status. They are less likely to receive combined PI/IMIDs therapy and ASCT as first-line treatment and to obtain deeper treatment responses. Moreover, our data showed high attrition rates in this population. These factors

could result in the shorter overall survival seen in the elderly population and underscore the importance of prompt initiation of effective and safe therapies in the elderly population. Further clinical studies are needed to characterize disease heterogeneity better and to identify interventions to improve clinical outcomes of older adults with myeloma.

Disclosures

Stadtmauer:*Janssen:* Consultancy; *BMS:* Consultancy; *Abbvie:* Consultancy, Research Funding; *Amgen:* Consultancy; *genmab:* Consultancy.

Figure 1

Characterization	-465 pet	86.70ye	205 00	diversity.
fumber of patients	439	212	1246	1.1.1
lav				0.82
life/a	388 (80.41%)	210.000.00%	1103.001	
Famula	211(01.10%)	240(08.10N)	40(41109)	
Face				
intere .	251/54.90%	275(76.82%)	128079-4956	1.010
Bach	88(13.77%)	46(11)(094)	27(28.49%)	
Agrain	2403.09%	415.52%	010.00%	
American Indian	3(0.47%)	0(0.00%)	1104042	
Ditter	26.14.39%)	19(8-20%)	303.08%	
Inaging				-6.95
1811	259 (AD 55%)	318 (30.12%)	27(24.49%)	
101.0	10104494	340.09.31N	43 (28 (894)	
152.16	248(22.85%)	11(21,294)	102100.0296	
lymptoms at presentation				
Anamia	105(52.4%)	225 (49.4%)	101083-040	-6.00
Hapenialcenia	68 (35 JW)	48.018.4%	22133.250	0.199
Renal Insufficiency	44(6.9%)	40(11.2%)	14(840)	0.045
ecos				-0.00
64	341(91144)	31106.04	105(14.0%)	
幼	37(8.9%)	40.03.4%	316(216.294)	
righ call cytoperature				
1(4,24)	14	14		0.044
404,38	- 28	8		10.534
10420	4		1	3,348
6e/17y	38	82	10	6.019
anala	184	118	47	0.046
Latina transmant				-8-89
Piblint	111(17.60%)	18 (DE 54h)	88(#8.22N)	
Combined PUMAD becast	310 (79.97%)	217 (64.20%)	1104.000	
MCs even	28(2.25%)	2627-2690	27(38,48%)	
+OCUMPCT	428(87.0%)	\$75(48.32N)	10.01.0240	-6.00
Beet Dratal Response				-6-86
CR .	284(29.12%)	81-01-43%	23(8.90%)	
HOR .	84.(7.86%)	1915-2114	4127494	
1044	201(44.10%)	252 (42.46%)	88(84.82%)	
PR	68(10.64%)	59(04.44%)	24/24/48%	
10	18(2.09%)	25 (4.19%)	24(0.59%)	
F0.	1(0.26%)	3 (D.38%)	110.6290	
Approximation after Services	12.14%	33.24%	10485	16.00
Annual after 2x21ma	18.88%	27,43%	41.94%	-0.00
Attention after Ind Inc.	17.32%	26.88%	45.10%	6.004
Medial-DE(Insnits)	14	79.6	46.5	-0.00
Davih	138 (21 47%)	584(48.31%)	24(5152%)	-6.00
Course of dearch				0.178
Disease Progression	(#5(12.30%)	80(23.1HM)	+6 (31), 5(2%)	
Dates	88.08.29%)	75(20.39%)	25(22.87%)	

Figure 1. Cox regression analysis showing prognostic factors of OS in elderly MM patients

Doublet in Triplet Therapy	(\$11.140)	0.650			+	•	- 2.04**
RCOG+I	(01140)	10-20		+	•		\$26*
8-08	(64-140)	n#5a		-	•		I OH +
High-risk Cytogenetics	(811) 48)	aller			•	-	9.377
Rend Insufficiency	pte-140	12.20	-	•		+	2.817
Nypercalcantia	(01140)	145a	-	•			11.767
White on Non-white	(01140)	#25.a		•			0.887
Gender	(9)-140	all.					8277
# Events 78 Golur p-raise AIC 643-55 Consentation In	3.00-Pank) 6.001138 she: 2.65		540				

A forest plot showing the results of multivariate regression analysis of clinical variables and primary treatment with OS in elderly MM patients. Hazard Ratios (H4) were denied from multivariate Cox regression models, with SDN Confidence intervals (CD) are shown in parethease. Privation < CD seem statistically significant.

bb transitional braging Sarkers, PL proteinane articletary, MCL investigational catery strugs, VCCU/ACT high-down descriptionary and austinguous team call transplantation, OE complete arguments, CR sciencept complete response, VPR very general particle response, PR general estigation, CR cateral articles, PD programme argument, CR sciencept complete response, VPR very general particle response, PR general estigation, CR cateral articles, PD programme argument provide argument are transition indicates exercision applications on the yor CR. Performs Area (VAI) in tables descented particles and particles in the Network particle argument are applications, et al. yor CR. Performs Area (VAI) in tables descented particles and particles in the Network.

VIEW LARGE

DOWNLOAD SLIDE

Sign in via your Institution