

Gain1q in Myeloma Randomized Clinical Trials- How Is It Reported and How Does It Impact Outcomes: A Systematic Review

Karun Neupane, Gliceida Galarza Fortuna, Riyasha Dahal, Timothy M Schmidt, Rafael Fonseca, Doug Sborov, Meera Mohan, Hira Mian, Rajshekhar Chakraborty, Ghulam Rehman Mohyuddin



Introduction:

Extra copies of chromosome 1q21 (+1q: gain=3 copies, amp=4 or more copies) have been associated with worse outcomes for patients with multiple myeloma (MM). We performed a systematic review to evaluate current reporting of +1q, efficacy of existing regimens for +1q, and prognostic implications of +1q in MM randomized controlled trials (RCTs).

Methods:

We searched three databases for MM RCTs. Our inclusion criteria were all published MM RCTs from 2012-2022. Each MM RCT was analyzed for reported data on +1q. The following features specific to +1q were collected: +1q reported or not as a high-risk cytogenetic alteration, definition of gain1q with respect to percentage of cells with abnormality detected, documentation of distinction between Gain1q and Amp1q in analysis, prevalence of +1q in enrolled population, outcomes of patients [Overall Survival (OS) and Progression Free Survival (PFS)] in patients with +1q in the experimental versus control arm and in patients with and without +1q.

A total of 124 trials were included. Among these trials, 28 (23%) studies reported data on +1q, including 26 studies that reported data in the primary manuscript and two studies that reported in separate publication. These trials reported a total of 2692 patients with +1q which represented 25% of all the patients enrolled. Out of 28 trials, three trials (11%) specified the criteria for categorizing patients as +1q (example in IKEMA and IFM-99: the presence of at least three copies in at least 30% of analyzed plasma cells was required). Only four trials (14%) reported survival data on gain and amp separately and the remaining 24 (86%) studies reported for gain or did not specify gain vs amp. Amongst the trials that reported +1q, 22 (79%) considered this to be a high-risk cytogenetic abnormality.

Amongst trials that met primary endpoint showing improvement in PFS and clearly reported on +1q, the following drugs also improved PFS for those with +1q (when comparing hazard ratio (HR) for intervention versus control arm in the +1q subgroup): lenalidomide (len) maintenance in Myeloma XI, selinexor in BOSTON, and isatuximab in IKEMA and ICARIA.

Several trials met their endpoint and showed improvement in PFS in the +1q cohort in same direction as overall study results but had confidence intervals for +1q subgroup that crossed 1. These included addition of carfilzomib in Myeloma XI, addition of carfilzomib vs bortezomib to len and dex for +1q (but not in Amp1q) in ENDURANCE, addition of elotuzumab to pomalidomide and dex, and bortezomib-based treatment before and after autologous stem cell transplantation (auto-SCT) vs no bortezomib (Table 2).

Seven studies reported HR for patients with +1q in the trial (across both arms) compared to those without. In six studies (all studies other than SWOG1211), worse outcomes were seen with respect to OS and PFS for those with +1q versus without (Table 2).

Important interventions for which subgroup analysis of +1q was not presented in trial results, and hence conclusions about the efficacy of the drugs specifically for patients with +1q cannot be ascertained included pomalidomide and ixazomib. Although subgroup analysis of various daratumumab trials has shown improvement for high-risk MM, the effect on gain1q was not isolated. Two recent contemporary trials that isolated effect of auto-SCT (DETERMINATION and IFM-2009) did not report +1q. However, in FORTE Trial, adverse prognostic implications of +1q were not seen in the arm receiving carfilzomib, len, dex and auto-SCT, indicating a possible role of carfilzomib and auto-SCT in ameliorating the adverse prognostic implications of +1q. Although len maintenance improved PFS after auto-SCT as maintenance in Myeloma XI overall for those with +1q, it did not appear to improve PFS for patients with isolated +1q (with no other concurrent genetic abnormalities).

Conclusion: Skip to Main Content

This systematic review of MM RCTs finds considerable heterogeneity in the reporting of +1q

 \subseteq

Disclosures

<u>n'----</u>

subgroup analysis of randomized myeloma trials. Most interventions that have shown to be successful in randomized trials and have clearly reported on the +1q subgroup have shown concordant direction of results and benefit of the applied intervention in the +1q subgroup. A more standardized approach to reporting of this abnormality is needed.

Disclosures

Fonseca: FISH: Patents & Royalties: FISH; Millenium: Consultancy; Caris Life Sciences: Membership on an entity's Board of Directors or advisory committees; *Kite:* Consultancy; *AZBio:* Membership on an entity's Board of Directors or advisory committees; Takeda: Consultancy; Merck: Consultancy; Janssen: Consultancy; Bayer: Consultancy; Binding Site: Consultancy; Antegene: Membership on an entity's Board of Directors or advisory committees; Pfizer: Consultancy; BMS (Celgene): Consultancy; Sanofi: Consultancy; Regeneron: Consultancy; Pharmacyclics: Consultancy; Juno: Consultancy; Aztrazenica: Consultancy; Oncotracker: Membership on an entity's Board of Directors or advisory committees; Adaptive Biotechnologies: Membership on an entity's Board of Directors or advisory committees; AMGEN: Consultancy; Adaptive Biotechnologies: Consultancy; AbbVie: Consultancy. Sborov: Abbvie: Consultancy; BMS: Consultancy; Pfizer: Consultancy, Research Funding; Bioline: Consultancy, Research Funding; Arcellx: Consultancy, Research Funding; Gilead: Research Funding; Amgen: Research Funding; Cantex: Research Funding; Sanofi: Consultancy, Research Funding; Janssen: Consultancy, Research Funding; GSK: Consultancy, Research Funding; RocheX: Research Funding. Mohan: Institutional KL2 Award: Other: Research Grant; Takeda Pharmaceutical Company: Research Funding; GlaxoSmithKline plc: Research Funding; MJH life sciences: Honoraria; Ionis Pharmaceuticals: Research Funding; Bristol-Myers Squibb Company: Research Funding; Celgene Corporation: Research Funding; Novartis: Research Funding; Amgen Inc: Research Funding; Blood Cancer Today: Honoraria; MashupMD: Honoraria; Sanofi S.A: Consultancy, Research Funding. **Mian:**GSK Awards: HHS Research Early Career Award from Hamilton Health Sciences Foundation: Honoraria; Sanofi: Honoraria; Takeda: Honoraria; Amgen: Honoraria; Celgene: Honoraria; Janssen: Honoraria. Chakraborty: Adaptive Biotechnologies: Consultancy; Sanofi Pasteur: Consultancy; Janssen: Consultancy.

Figure 1

Skip to Main Content

- - I - - - - - -

DISCIOSURES Table 1 Characteristics of multiple myeloma randomized clinical trials that reported +1q.

Study Characteristics	No. of studies reporting +1q (%)	No. of studies not reporting +1q (%)
Pharmaceutical company funded	11 (39)	44 (46)
Cooperative group/single-center (not pharmaceutical company)	17 (61)	52 (54)
Frontline or consolidation/maintenance	20 (71)	60 (63)
Relapsed/refractory	8 (29)	36 (37)
Multinational	12 (43)	53 (55)
Limited to a single country except the US	12 (43)	25 (26)
Limited to the US	4 (14)	18 (19)
Year 2012-2015	4 (14)	37 (39)
Year 2016-2019	11 (39)	49 (51)
Year 2020-2022	13 (47)	10 (10)

Table 2 Survival Outcomes in trials that reported separately on +1q.

Study name	Drug regimen	HR for OS (95% CI) p-value	HR for PFS (95% CI) p-value
Hazard Ratio of Int	ervention vs control in patients with +1q		
BOSTON	Sel, bort, and dex vs bort and dex	Amp: 0.85 (0.41-1.76) 0.33 Gain: 0.62 (0.40-0.96) nr	Amp: 0.63 (0.34-1.17) 0.07
Myeloma XI	Len maintenance		For all gain1q, 0.46 (0.33- 0.62) 0.455 For isolated gain1q, 1.50, (0.9-2.7) 0.2
IKEMA	Isa plus car-dex vs car-dex	0.57 (0.33-0.98)	0.582 (0.368-0.932)
Myeloma XI+	cyc/thal, dex or cyc/len/dex vs cyc, car, len, dex	-	0.63 (0.38-1.06) 0.89
ENDURANCE	Addition of car vs bort to len and dex	Gain: 0.5 (0.28-0.90) 0.018 Amp: 1.56 (0.64-3.78) 0.32	Gain: 0.75 (0.49-1.14) 0.17 Amp:1.46 (0.73-2.92) 0.281
Myeloma XI	Addition of vorinostat to len maintenance	1.04 (0.52-2.04) 0.445	1.2 (0.68-2.11) 0.453
ELOQUENT-3	Addition of elotuzumab to pom and dex		0.56 (0.29-1.09)
HOVON- 65/GMMG-HD4	Bort before and after ASCT vs no bort	0.58 (0.30-1.12) 0.1	0.76 (0.48-1.18) 0.22
ICARIA	Isa plus pom and low-dose dex vs pom and low- dose dex	0.72 (0.48-1.07) 0.25	0.41 (0.2-0.7) 0.137
Hazard Ratio of Par	tients with +1q (in all arms of trial) vs no +1q		
HOVON87/NMSG1 8	Mel, pred, and len/thal	1.63 (1.13-2.35) 0.01	1.42 (1.1-1.83) 0.007
ENDURANCE	Car/bort with len and dex	Gain: 1.4 (nr) 0.133 Amp: 1.78 (nr) 0.018	Gain: 1.46 (nr) 0.003 Amp: 1.8 (nr) 0.001
IFM-99	Thal maintenance	2.00 (1.56-2.58) 0.001	1.42 (1.15-1.75) 0.001
HOVON- 65/GMMG-HD4	Bort before and ASCT vs standard treatment without bort	Combined gain/amp: 1.9 (1.2-2.9) 0.0052 Gain: 1.66 (nr) 0.0319 Amp: 3.95 (nr) 0.0009	Combined gain/amp: 1.7 (1.3-2.3) 0.0002 Gain: 1.65 (nr) 0.0010 Amp: 2.48 (nr) 0.0062
FORTE	Three arm trial respectively: first arm receiving car, len and dex with auto-SCT, second receiving car, cyc and dex with auto-SCT, and third receiving car-len-dex without auto-SCT. A second randomization then done for maintenance with car plus len or len alone	Gain: 1.88 (0.98-3.58) 0.056 Amp: 5.88 (3.1-11.17) <0.001	Gain :1.65 (1.14-2.37) 0.007 Amp: 3.04 (1.99-4.65) <0.001
Myeloma IX	Cyc, vincristine, dox and dex or cyc, thal and dex, followed by mel with ASCT vs either mel and pred or cyc, thal and dex	1.53 (1.20-1.94) 0.001	1.46 (1.21-1.76) <0.001
SW0G-1211	Bort, len, and dex with or without elotuzumab	0.776 (0.388, 1.552)	0.761 (0.459, 1.261)
Abbreviations: HR: 1 bortezomib, dex: de: doxorubicin, thal: th nr: not reported	hazard ratio, OS: overall survival, PFS: progression f samethasone, len: lenalidomide, car: carfilzomib, Is. alidomide, pom: pomalidomide, ASCT: autologous s	ree survival, CI: confidence inte a: isatuximab, cyc: cyclophosph item cell transplantation, pred:	rval, sel: selinexor, bort: amide, vin: vincristine, dox: prednisone, mel: melphalan,

VIEW LARGE

DOWNLOAD SLIDE

Sign in via your Institution

Add comment

Skip to Main Content Volume 142, Issue Supplement 1

November 2 2023



Disclosures

- - - - - - - - - - - -